

**From:** KarenD Johnson/R3/USEPA/US  
**Sent:** 1/6/2012 1:10:46 PM  
**To:** Jon Capacasa/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
**CC:** Laura Janson/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; Victoria Binetti/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Subject:** Re: Fw: Dimock, fracking, and re-testing water

Sorry- I've been working on a data table for the new data and hadn't been tracking email for a while.

**Ex. 5 - Deliberative**

**Ex. 5 - Deliberative**

Karen D. Johnson, Chief  
Ground Water & Enforcement Branch

**From:** Jon Capacasa/R3/USEPA/US  
**To:** KarenD Johnson/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Victoria Binetti/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Cc:** Laura Janson/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Date:** 01/06/2012 11:47 AM  
**Subject:** Fw: Dimock, fracking, and re-testing water

Could you let me know who is in the office today to help address Shawn's questions. Thanks.

----- Forwarded by Jon Capacasa/R3/USEPA/US on 01/06/2012 11:52 AM -----

**From:** Shawn Garvin/R3/USEPA/US  
**To:** Jon Capacasa/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, "Victoria Binetti" <Binetti.Victoria@epamail.epa.gov>  
**Cc:** "Dandrea Michael" <dandrea.michael@epa.gov>, Daniel Ryan/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, "Amy Johansen" <Johansen.Amy@epamail.epa.gov>  
**Date:** 01/06/2012 11:23 AM  
**Subject:** Fw: Dimock, fracking, and re-testing water

Jon & Vicky - Could you let me know who the contractor for Cabot was for the data that we reviewed?

**Ex. 5 - Deliberative**

Thanks - Shawn

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Betsaida Alcantara  
**Sent:** 01/06/2012 10:21 AM EST  
**To:** Shawn Garvin; Bob Sussman; Gerald Heston  
**Subject:** Re: Dimock, fracking, and re-testing water

Reuters is coming back with this. He's wondering what we based our original determination that there was no immediate threat to public health. What data were we looking at?

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Shawn Garvin  
**Sent:** 01/05/2012 06:07 PM EST  
**To:** Betsaida Alcantara; Bob Sussman; Gerald Heston  
**Subject:** Re: Dimock, fracking, and re-testing water

I don't know who the contractor was. I can find out tomorrow.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Betsaida Alcantara

**Sent:** 01/05/2012 06:03 PM EST

**To:** Shawn Garvin; Bob Sussman; Gerald Heston

**Subject:** Fw: Dimock, fracking, and re-testing water

see attached letter. Reuters wants to know whether it was the tests done by Test America that led us to do further investigation

----- Forwarded by Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US on 01/05/2012 06:01 PM -----

From: <timothy.gardner@thomsonreuters.com>

To: Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: <Edward.McAllister@thomsonreuters.com>

Date: 01/05/2012 05:59 PM

Subject: FW: Dimock, fracking, and re-testing water

Hi Betsaida,

Thanks so much for your help today.

We're just trying to figure out a couple things to round out the story, and I've cc'ed my colleague Ed McAllister, who I am writing it with.

It's unclear to us what prompted EPA to declare the water was safe in November. Was it in response to the tests done by Test America of water samples provided by Cabot, listed in the above letter?

Or did EPA do its own samples?

Thanks, Tim

I can be reached on cell Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

-----Original Message-----

From: Gardner, Timothy T. (M Edit Ops)

Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2012 5:10 PM

To: Betsaida Alcantara

Subject: RE: Dimock, fracking, and re-testing water

Is it in response to the above letter?

-----Original Message-----

From: Betsaida Alcantara [mailto:Alcantara.Betsaida@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2012 5:02 PM

To: Gardner, Timothy T. (M Edit Ops)

Subject: Re: Dimock, fracking, and re-testing water

its cabot data that was provided to us by the residents

----- Original Message -----

From: [timothy.gardner@thomsonreuters.com]

Sent: 01/05/2012 09:04 PM GMT

To: Betsaida Alcantara

Subject: RE: Dimock, fracking, and re-testing water

Many thanks Betsaida,

Can you tell me exactly who the hundreds of pages of data is from? Is it from the legal representatives of residents in Dimock? A lawyer for them is telling us that the original samples that Cabot handed over were filtered.

Or is this from another source in Dimock?

Thanks, Tim

-----Original Message-----

From: Betsaida Alcantara [mailto:Alcantara.Betsaida@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2012 3:18 PM

To: Gardner, Timothy T. (M Edit Ops)

Cc: Brendan Gilfillan

Subject: Re: Dimock, fracking, and re-testing water

Hi Tim,  
Happy 2012! Hope all is well.

This is the latest that we have on Dimock

"EPA has recently received and reviewed hundreds of pages of data supplied to the Agency by Dimock residents. While EPA is not in a position to make any conclusions about the data we have in hand because there are gaps, we believe that additional information is needed to better understand the situation in Dimock and respond appropriately. Therefore, EPA is considering next steps including conducting some samples of well water in the area. We will evaluate the sampling results and share them with the residents, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and Cabot.

Natural gas plays a key role in our nation's clean energy future and the Obama Administration is committed to ensuring that the development of this vital resource occurs safely and responsibly. At the direction of Congress, and separate from this limited sampling, EPA has begun a national study on the potential impacts of hydraulic fracturing on drinking water resources."

Betsaida Alcantara  
Press Secretary  
US Environmental Protection Agency  
202-564-1692  
alcantara.betsaida@epa.gov

From: <timothy.gardner@thomsonreuters.com>  
To: Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Brendan  
Gilfillan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/05/2012 02:31 PM  
Subject: Dimock, fracking, and re-testing water

Hi Betsaida, Brendan,

Happy New Year!  
Working with a colleague who is hearing from residents in fracking country Dimock Pa that the EPA will retest the water there after approving it in November.

Wonder if someone could get back to me. We have names of the EPA workers in Dimmock who have been talking with residents there.

Best, Tim

202-898-8360

This email was sent to you by Thomson Reuters, the global news and information company. Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, except where the sender specifically states them to be the views of Thomson Reuters.

This email was sent to you by Thomson Reuters, the global news and information company. Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, except where the sender specifically states them to be the views of Thomson Reuters.

This email was sent to you by Thomson Reuters, the global news and information company. Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, except where the sender specifically states them to be the views of Thomson Reuters.

[attachment "EPA Letter.pdf" deleted by Shawn Garvin/R3/USEPA/US]